

TEST CASE DOCUMENTATION AND TESTING RESULTS

LSTC-QA-LS-DYNA-AEROQA-4-1

TEST CASE ID AEROQA-4

Blade Containment,
Blade Fragment Impacts Zylon Fabric

Tested with LS-DYNA® R5.1.1 Revision 67628

Wednesday 27th July, 2011

Disclaimer:

The LSTC QA group does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this document.

Document Information	
Confidentiality	external use
Document Identifier	LSTC-QA-LS-DYNA-AEROQA-4-1
Author(s)	Prepared by LS-DYNA® Aerospace Working Group
Number of pages	14
Date created	Wednesday 27 th July, 2011
Distribution	LS-DYNA® Aerospace Working Group / internal LSTC QA

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Purpose of this Document	1
2	Test Case Information	2
3	Test Case Specification	3
3.1	Test Case Purpose	3
3.2	Test Case Description	4
3.3	Model Description	5
4	Test Specifications	7
4.1	Test Case Targets	7
4.2	Pass/Fail Criteria	7
5	Test Case Results	8
5.1	Software and Hardware Specifications	8
5.2	Results Summary	9
5.3	Result Details	10
5.3.1	Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 1	11
5.3.2	Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 2	11
5.3.3	Sub Test Case ID 2 - Test Target 1	12
5.3.4	Sub Test Case ID 2 - Test Target 2	12
5.3.5	Sub Test Case ID 3 - Test Target 1	13
5.3.6	Sub Test Case ID 3 - Test Target 2	13
	References	14

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this Document

This document specifies the test case AEROQA-4. It provides general test case information like name and ID as well as information to the confidentiality, status, and classification of the test case.

A detailed description of the test case is given, the purpose of the test case is defined, and the tested features are named. The test case specifications also state the target measures for testing and the expected results, as well as their pass and fail criteria.

Testing results are provided in section 5 for the therein mentioned LS-DYNA® version and platforms.

2 Test Case Information

Test Case Summary	
Confidentiality	external use
Test Case Name	Blade Containment - Blade Fragment Impacts Zylon Fabric
Test Case ID	AEROQA-4
Test Case Status	active
Test Case Classification	Validation
Test Case Source	NASA Glenn Research Center Arizona State University Honeywell Engines, Systems and Services SRI International
Tested Keyword	*MAT_USER_DEFINED_MATERIAL_MODELS, *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_SINGLE_SURFACE, *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_SURFACE_TO_SURFACE
Member of Test Suite	AEROQA SUITE
Metadata	AEROQA

Table 1: Test Case Summary

3 Test Case Specification

3.1 Test Case Purpose

The purpose of QA Test Case ID AEROQA-4 is the validation of an impact experiment on layered dry fabric rings performed at NASA Glenn Research Center [2] . The reliability and consistency of LS-DYNA® as a finite element solver for this impact simulation involving textile structures is evaluated by performing analyses with different modelling approaches for the textile (see table 4).

3.2 Test Case Description

This QA Test Case contains an impact analysis (see figure 1) using a 304L stainless steel projectile impacting 24 layers of dry fabric rings made from Zylon AS[®].




Figure 1: Model sketch: 304L stainless steel projectile impacting 24 layers of Zylon AS[®]

Table 2 contains a short summary of the physical model set up. Details on the experimental set up can be found in [2].

Physical Model Information	
ring geometry	outer diameter = 40.0" inner diameter = 38.0" height = 10.0"
ring opening	10.0"
fabric geometry	24 layers, height = 10.0"
fabric material	Zylon AS [®] PBO
Fabric areal density	0.01575 g/cm ²
projectile geometry	length = 4.0" , height = 2.0" , thickness = 0.188"
projectile mass	0.7 lb
projectile material	304L stainless steel
projectile initial velocity	10.80 in/ms (incline 15 degree)
projectile final velocity	0.00 in/ms

Table 2: Model set-up data

3.3 Model Description

The model geometry is discretized with solid elements for the projectile and shell elements for the layers of dry fabric rings (see figure 2). The number of elements and material specifications for the model can be found in table 3.




Figure 2: FEA model: 304L stainless steel projectile impacting 24 layers of Zylon AS[®]

FEA Model information						
Sub Test Case ID ¹	1	2	3	4	5	6
Nodes	120695	120695	120695	141359	162023	182687
Solid elements	77888	77888	77888	77888	77888	77888
Solid materials	8	8	8	8	8	8
Shell elements	20130	20130	20130	40320	60480	80640
Shell materials	5	5	5	5	5	5
Parts	13	13	13	13	13	13
Number of shell layers	1	1	1	2	3	4
Integration points per layers	24	24	24	12	8	6
fabric material	*MAT_USER_DEFINED_MATERIAL_MODELS ²					
ring geometry	outer diameter = 40.0" thickness = 1.0" height = 10.5"					
fabric geometry	height = 10.0"					
projectile geometry	length = 4.0" , high = 2.0" , thickness = 0.3125"					
projectile velocity	$v_y = -10.47836$ in/ms $v_x = -2.8076701$ in/ms					

¹ Sub Test Case ID refers to the ID's in table 4

² For details on this user material see [3]

Table 3: FEA Model Information

Six variations (called sub test cases) of the model are set up with different discretization approaches for the 24 fabric layers in the physical model, and different treatment of the segment-based contact (SBOPT parameter), and optional solid element thickness (SLDTHK parameter). A summary of these six sub test cases can be found in table 4.

Sub Test Case ID	SBOPT ¹	SLDTHK ²	Shell Layers ³	IP ⁴	Input Deck Name
1	0	0.000	1	24	zylon1x24.k
2	4	0.016	1	24	zylon1x24_sliding_option.k
3	0	0.016	1	24	zylon1x24_solid_thickness_ls971only.k
4	0	0.000	2	12	zylon2x12.k
5	0	0.000	3	8	zylon3x8.k
6	0	0.000	4	6	zylon4x6.k

¹ Parameter SBOPT in optional Card A in *CONTACT keyword (see [1] for Details)

² Parameter SLDTHK in optional Card B in *CONTACT keyword (see [1] for Details)

³ Number of shell layers for discretization of the 24 Layers in the physical model

⁴ Number of integration points per shell layer, IP times number of shell layers equals 24 layers in the physical model

Table 4: Specification of sub test cases

The material definitions and their parameters can be found in the input decks.

4 Test Specifications

4.1 Test Case Targets

Table 5 displays the test case targets. The test case targets specify values or a series of values taken from the finite element analysis solution of the test case and they are used in a comparison of analysis results and experimental data. They are chosen in a way that they can be directly or indirectly compared to the experimental determined values or observations.

Test Case Targets				
Target number	output	component type	component id	retrieved from
1	kinetic energy	part	1136	binout/matsum file
2	kinetic energy	part	1236	binout/matsum file

Table 5: Test Case targets for QA Test Case ID AEROQA-4

The target values are chosen to identify the kinetic energy loss of the projectile during the impact event. Target number 1 is the kinetic energy of the body of the projectile (see figure 3 for definition of the body and the cap of the projectile). Target number 2 is the kinetic energy of the cap of the projectile, the part which initially comes in contact with the fabric. The targets are used to evaluate the perforation of the fabric (see section 4.2).




Figure 3: Part identification of the projectile: Grey coded is part 1136 (body), red coded is part 1236 (cap)

4.2 Pass/Fail Criteria

These are the Pass/Fail criteria used for the Validation of the Test Case ID AEROQA-4.

A sub test case passes if all of the following criteria are reached:

- The test case target 1 (kinetic energy part 1136) is less than 20% of the initial kinetic energy.
- The test case target 2 (kinetic energy part 1236) is less than 20% of the initial kinetic energy.

Otherwise the Validation fails.

5 Test Case Results

5.1 Software and Hardware Specifications

In order to ensure cross-platform consistency, the herein mentioned sub test cases are run on platforms specified in table 6 and the results are calculated with software versions defined in table 7.

Platform Name	Operating system	CPU type	MPI-Protocol	Number of cpu's ¹
sandwich	SUSE LES 11.1	Intel® Xeon® E7- 8837 @ 2.67GHz	hpmapi	4
ham	CentOS 5.4	AMD® Opteron® 8435 @ 800MHz	hpmapi	4
sgi64d	SUSE LES 9.4	Intel® Itanium® 2 @ 1.6GHz	hpmapi	4

¹ Number of cpu's used for calculation of the test case

Table 6: Used Platforms and CPU Type's

Product	Version	Release	Revision	Parallel type ¹	Precision ²	executable
LS-DYNA®	971	R5.1.1	67628	SMP	SP	ls971.67628.R5.1.1
LS-DYNA®	971	R5.1.1	67628	SMP	DP	ld971.67628.R5.1.1
LS-DYNA®	971	R5.1.1	67628	MPP	SP	mpp971.67628.R5.1.1
LS-DYNA®	971	R5.1.1	67628	MPP	DP	mpd971.67628.R5.1.1

¹ MPP = Massively Parallel Processing, SMP = Symmetric Multiprocessing

² SP = single precision, DP = double precision

Table 7: Tested LS-DYNA® version

5.2 Results Summary

Table 8 contains the results of the QA Test Case ID AEROQA-4 completed with all combinations of software and hardware defined in section 5.1 (6 * 3 * 4 total calculation runs).

Details on the test results can be found in the section 5.3.

The table 8 validation summary is:

- **PASS** - Pass criteria in section 4.2 is attained.
- **FAILED** - Pass criteria in section 4.2 is not attained.
- **ERROR** - sub test case terminates due to error.
- **N/A** - sub test case was not calculated.

Sub Test Case ID	PASS/FAILED
1	PASS
2	PASS
3	PASS
4	ERROR
5	ERROR
6	ERROR

Table 8: Validation results summary for QA Test Case ID AEROQA-4

5.3 Result Details

The following subsections contain detailed results for the QA Test Case ID AEROQA-4 for LS-DYNA® R5.1.1 Revision 67628.

For each sub test case defined in section 3.3 there is a graph displaying the time history of the result target defined in section 4.1 for the platform and software version combinations defined in section 5.1.

The title of the graph states the name of the input deck, the result file name, and the output separated by underscores. The legend contains the result file name, output, platform, and executable. The last number of the legend specifies the number of cpu's used to calculate the example. A leading minus sign refers to the compatibility option for SMP calculations (see [1] for details on this option).

Example for title and legend:

Title:

'zylon1x24.k: matsum.kinetic.energy_1136' states that the input deck for sub test case 1 was used to calculate these results. The component displayed is the kinetic energy of part 1136 derived from the 'matsum' output file.

Legend:

'matsum.kinetic.energy_1136.sandwich.ls971.67628.R5.1.1_4' states that the graph shows the kinetic energy of part 1136 derived from the 'matsum' output file for an input deck which was calculated on the 'sandwich' platform with a LS-DYNA® R5.1.1 Revision 67628 binary (SMP, single precision) on four processors.

5.3.1 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 1




Figure 4: Cross platform results, kinetic energy part 1136, sub test case ID 1

5.3.2 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 2




Figure 5: Cross platform results, kinetic energy part 1236, sub test case ID 1

5.3.3 Sub Test Case ID 2 - Test Target 1




Figure 6: Cross platform results, kinetic energy part 1136, sub test case ID 2

5.3.4 Sub Test Case ID 2 - Test Target 2




Figure 7: Cross platform results, kinetic energy part 1236, sub test case ID 2

5.3.5 Sub Test Case ID 3 - Test Target 1




Figure 8: Cross platform results, kinetic energy part 1136, sub test case ID 3

5.3.6 Sub Test Case ID 3 - Test Target 2




Figure 9: Cross platform results, kinetic energy part 1236, sub test case ID 3

References

- [1] LSTC, *LS-DYNA KEYWORD USER MANUAL*, 7374 Las Positas Road, Livermore, CA, 94551, USA, version 971 ed., May 2007.
- [2] J. M. PEREIRA AND D. M. REVILOCK, *Explicit Finite Element Modeling of Multilayer Composite Fabric for Gas Turbine Engine Containment, Part 2: Ballistic Impact Testing*, Final Report DOT/FAA/AR-04/40,P2, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Research, Washington, D.C. 20591, November 2004.
- [3] J. SIMMONS, D. ERLICH, AND D. SHOCKEY, *Explicit Finite Element Modeling of Multilayer Composite Fabric for Gas Turbine Engine Containment, Part 3: Model Development and Simulation of Experiments*, Final Report DOT/FAA/AR-04/40,P3, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Research, Washington, D.C. 20591, November 2004.