

# TEST CASE DOCUMENTATION AND TESTING RESULTS

LSTC-QA-LS-DYNA-AEROQA-2-2-3

## TEST CASE ID AEROQA-2-2

### Fan Blade-Off Containment Test, Generic Soft Wall Containment

Tested with LS-DYNA® R7.0.0 Revision 79069

Friday 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2013

**Warranty Disclaimer:**

The test case(s) described herein are for illustrative purposes only. LSTC does not warrant that a user of these or other LS-DYNA features will experience the same or similar results or that a feature will meet the user's particular requirements or operate error free. FURTHERMORE, THERE ARE NO WARRANTIES, EITHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, ORAL OR WRITTEN, WITH RESPECT TO THE DOCUMENTATION AND SOFTWARE DESCRIBED HEREIN INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES (i) OF MERCHANTABILITY, OR (ii) FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSES, OR (iii) ARISING FROM COURSE OF PERFORMANCE OR DEALING, OR FROM USAGE OF TRADE OR. THE REMEDIES SET FORTH HEREIN ARE EXCLUSIVE AND IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF WARRANTY.

## Document Information

Confidentiality	<b>external use</b>
Document Identifier	LSTC-QA-LS-DYNA-AEROQA-2-2-3
Author(s)	Prepared by LS-DYNA® Aerospace Working Group
Number of pages	15
Date created	Friday 22 <sup>nd</sup> March, 2013
Distribution	LS-DYNA® Aerospace Working Group / internal LSTC QA

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose of this Document . . . . .	1
<b>2 Test Case Information</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Test Case Specification</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Test Case Purpose . . . . .	3
3.2 Test Case Description . . . . .	4
3.3 Model Description . . . . .	5
<b>4 Test Specifications</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Test Case Targets . . . . .	7
4.2 Pass/Fail Criteria . . . . .	7
<b>5 Test Case Results</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1 Software and Hardware Specifications . . . . .	8
5.2 Results Summary . . . . .	9
5.3 Result Details . . . . .	10
5.3.1 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 1 . . . . .	11
5.3.2 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 2 . . . . .	11
5.3.3 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 3 . . . . .	12
5.3.4 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 4 . . . . .	12
5.3.5 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 5 . . . . .	13
5.3.6 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 6 . . . . .	13
5.3.7 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 7 . . . . .	14
5.3.8 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 8 . . . . .	14
<b>References</b>	<b>15</b>

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose of this Document

This document specifies the test case AEROQA-2-2. It provides general test case information like name and ID as well as information to the confidentiality, status, and classification of the test case.

A detailed description of the test case is given, the purpose of the test case is defined, and the tested features are named. The test case specifications also state the target measures for testing and the expected results, as well as their pass and fail criteria.

Testing results are provided in section 5 for the therein mentioned LS-DYNA® version and platforms.

## 2 Test Case Information

Test Case Summary	
Confidentiality	<b>external use</b>
Test Case Name	Fan Blade-Off Containment Test, Generic Soft Wall Containment
Test Case ID	AEROQA-2-2
Test Case Status	active
Test Case Classification	Qualitative Study
Test Case Source	Arizona State University (ASU)
Tested Keyword	*MAT_USER_DEFINED_MATERIAL_MODELS (ASU material model Version 1.3)
Testing Method	example
Member of Test Suite	AEROQA SUITE
Metadata	AEROQA

Table 1: Test Case Summary

## **3 Test Case Specification**

### **3.1 Test Case Purpose**

The purpose of QA Test Case ID AEROQA-2-2 is the qualitative study of a fan blade-out event for containment. Details of the containment model for the fan can be found in [3], and details of the fabric material model can be found in [2].

The reliability and consistency of LS-DYNA® as a finite element solver is evaluated by performing an analyses on the containment model for this fan blade-out event (see table 4).

### 3.2 Test Case Description

This QA Test Case contains a fan blade-out containment test (see figure 1). The model contains two fan blades (the released blade and the following blade), all the blade platforms, the fan containment case, and the Kevlar fabric containment layer. The red coded fan blade in figure 1 is the released blade.




Figure 1: Model sketch: Fan Blade-Out Containment Test, Generic Soft Wall Containment

Table 2 contains a short summary of the physical model set up. Details on the sub model of the engine can be found in [3]. The materials other than the Kevlar<sup>®</sup> material are not further specified and can be chosen in the finite element model as appropriate.

Physical Model Information	
Fan case diameter	approx. 42.72"
fabric material	Kevlar <sup>®</sup>
fabric areal density	0.02275 g/cm <sup>2</sup>
Initial rotational velocity	1.056 (x-axis)

Table 2: Model set-up data



### 3.3 Model Description

The model geometry is discretized with solid elements for the fan containment case, fan blades and blade platforms. The fabric is discretized with one layer of shell elements (see figure 2). The number of elements and material specifications for the model can be found in table 3. The material for the fan blades and the fan containment case are not related to a specific material and can be chosen as appropriate.




Figure 2: FEA model: Fan Blade-Out Containment Test, Generic Soft Wall Containment

FEA Model information	
Nodes	150778
Solid elements	88525
Solid materials	5
Shell elements	5250
Shell materials	1
Parts	6
Number of shell layers	1
Fabric layers per shell	n/a
Fabric material	*MAT_USER_DEFINED_MATERIAL_MODELS (ASU material model Version 1.3) <sup>1</sup>
Initial rotational velocity	$\omega_x = 0.500$
Unit system	in (length), ms (time), Mlb <sup>2</sup> /in (mass), Mlb (force), Mpsi (stress), Mlb-in (energy)

<sup>1</sup> For details on this user material see [2]

Table 3: FEA Model Information

A summary of the test case can be found in table 4.

Sub Test Case ID	Input Deck Name
1	GEM.2.2.k

Table 4: Specification of sub test cases

The material definitions and their parameters can be found in the input deck.

## 4 Test Specifications

### 4.1 Test Case Targets

Table 5 displays the test case targets. The test case targets specify values or a series of values taken from the finite element analysis solution of the test case and they are used in a comparison of analysis results on different cpu architectures. They are chosen in a way that they are representative of the numerical model.

Test Case Targets				
Target number	Output	Component Type	Component Id	retrieved from
1	kinetic energy	global	-	binout/glstat file
2	internal energy	part 5	-	binout/matsum file
3	kinetic energy	part 5	-	binout/matsum file
4	rigid body velocity	part 5	x	binout/matsum file
5	rigid body velocity	part 5	y	binout/matsum file
6	rigid body velocity	part 5	z	binout/matsum file
7	internal energy	part 1	-	binout/matsum file
8	internal energy	part 2	-	binout/matsum file

Table 5: Test Case targets for QA Test Case ID AEROQA-2-2

The targets are used to evaluate the numerical stability of the sub test case (see section 4.2). Part 5 is the released fan blade, Part 1 and Part 2 are the casing and the fabric respectively.

### 4.2 Pass/Fail Criteria

These are the Pass/Fail criteria used for the evaluation of the Test Case ID AEROQA-2-2.

A sub test case passes if the following criteria are reached:

- For a specific test case target, the maximum distance between an x-y pair of a slope of one cpu architecture/software version combination to at least one x-y pair of all other tested cpu architecture/software version combinations is 15% of the maximum slope value.
- The test case target pass if the criteria mentioned before is true for the time interval [0.0 ; 1.25]. This interval is chosen roughly to the point in time where erosion occurs.

Otherwise the test fails.

## 5 Test Case Results

### 5.1 Software and Hardware Specifications

In order to ensure cross-platform consistency, the herein mentioned sub test cases are run on platforms specified in table 6 and the results are calculated with software versions defined in table 7.

Platform Name	Operating system	CPU type	MPI-Protocol	Number of cpu's <sup>1</sup>
sandwich	SUSE LES 11.1	Intel <sup>®</sup> Xeon <sup>®</sup> E7- 8837 @ 2.67GHz	hpmi	4
ham	CentOS 5.4	AMD <sup>®</sup> Opteron <sup>®</sup> 8435 @ 800MHz	hpmi	4
sgi64e	SUSE LES 9.4	Intel <sup>®</sup> Itanium <sup>®</sup> 2 @ 1.6GHz	hpmi	4

<sup>1</sup> Number of cpu's used for calculation of the test case

Table 6: Used Platforms and CPU Type's

Product	Version	Release	Revision	Parallel type <sup>1</sup>	Precision <sup>2</sup>	executable
LS-DYNA <sup>®</sup>	971	R7.0.0	79069	SMP	DP	ld971.79069.R7.0.0
LS-DYNA <sup>®</sup>	971	R7.0.0	79069	MPP	DP	mpd971.79069.R7.0.0

<sup>1</sup> MPP = Massively Parallel Processing, SMP = Symmetric Multiprocessing

<sup>2</sup> SP = single precision, DP = double precision

Table 7: Tested LS-DYNA<sup>®</sup> version

## 5.2 Results Summary

Table 8 contains the results of the QA Test Case ID AEROQA-2-2 completed with all combinations of software and hardware defined in section 5.1 ( 1 \* 2 \* 3 total calculation runs).

Details on the test results can be found in the section 5.3.

The table 8 validation summary is:

- **PASS** - Pass criteria in section 4.2 is attained.
- **FAILED** - Pass criteria in section 4.2 is not attained.
- **ERROR** - sub test case terminates due to error.
- **N/A** - sub test case was not calculated.

Sub Test Case ID	PASS/FAILED
1	<b>PASS</b>

Table 8: Test results summary for QA Test Case ID AEROQA-2-2

### 5.3 Result Details

The following subsections contain detailed results for the QA Test Case ID AEROQA-2-2 for LS-DYNA® R7.0.0 Revision 79069.

For each sub test case defined in section 3.3 there is a graph displaying the time history of the result target defined in section 4.1 for the platform and software version combinations defined in section 5.1.

The title of the graph states the name of the input deck, the result file name, and the output separated by underscores. The legend contains the result file name, output, platform, and executable. The last number of the legend specifies the number of cpu's used to calculate the example. A leading minus sign refers to the compatibility option for SMP calculations (see [1] for details on this option).

#### Example for title and legend:

*Title:*

'GEM\_2\_2.k: glstat\_kinetic\_energy' states that the input deck for sub test case 1 was used to calculate these results. The component displayed is the global kinetic energy derived from the 'glstat' output file.

*Legend:*

'glstat\_kinetic\_energy\_sandwich\_ls971.79069.R7.0.0\_4' states that the graph shows the global kinetic energy derived from the 'glstat' output file for an input deck which was calculated on the 'sandwich' platform with a LS-DYNA® R7.0.0 Revision 79069 binary (SMP, single precision) on four processors.

### 5.3.1 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 1




Figure 3: Cross platform results, global kinetic energy, sub test case ID 1

### 5.3.2 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 2




Figure 4: Cross platform results, internal energy Part 5 (released fan blade), sub test case ID 1

### 5.3.3 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 3




Figure 5: Cross platform results, kinetic energy Part 5 (released fan blade), sub test case ID 1

### 5.3.4 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 4




Figure 6: Cross platform results, rigid body velocity x component Part 5 (released fan blade), sub test case ID 1



### 5.3.5 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 5




Figure 7: Cross platform results, rigid body velocity y component Part 5 (released fan blade), sub test case ID 1

### 5.3.6 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 6




Figure 8: Cross platform results, rigid body velocity z component Part 5 (released fan blade), sub test case ID 1

### 5.3.7 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 7




Figure 9: Cross platform results, internal energy Part 1 (casing), sub test case ID 1

### 5.3.8 Sub Test Case ID 1 - Test Target 8




Figure 10: Cross platform results, internal energy Part 2 (fabric), sub test case ID 1

## References

- [1] LSTC, *LS-DYNA KEYWORD USER MANUAL*, 7374 Las Positas Road, Livermore, CA, 94551, USA, version 971 ed., May 2007.
- [2] S. D. RAJAN, B. MOBASHER, Z. STAHLECKER, S. BANSAL, D. ZHU, M. MOREA, AND K. DHANDAPANI, *Explicit Finite Element Modeling of Multilayer Composite Fabric for Gas Turbine Engine Containment Systems, Phase III Part 1: Arizona State University Material Model and Numerical Simulations*, Final Report DOT/FAA/AR-10/23,P1, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Research, Washington, D.C. 20591, January 2011.
- [3] I. V. VINTILESCU, *Explicit Finite Element Modeling of Multilayer Composite Fabric for Gas Turbine Engine Containment Systems, Phase II Part 4: Model Simulation for Ballistic Tests, Engine Fan Blade-Out, and Generic Engine*, Final Report DOT/FAA/AR-08/37,P4, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Research, Washington, D.C. 20591, February 2009.